

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/870,672	(	06/01/2001	1	Ryota Sugimoto	0189	61-054	8651
39083	7590	11/02/2004			EXAMINER		
CERMAK & KENEALY, LLP 23 W. Myrtle St					FENN C		
Alexandria,		1			ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

S: 1

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/870.672 SUGIMOTO, RYOTA Examiner Art Unit Fenn C Mathew 3764

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

## DEDIOD FOR DEDI V (check either a) or h)

	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) 🛛	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) 🔲	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final	I rejection, whichever is later. I	ì
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	he final rejection.	

a)					ng date of the final				
b)	no eve ONLY 706.07	nt, however, w CHECK THIS (f).	ill the statutory po BOX WHEN THE	eriod for reply e FIRST REPLY	f this Advisory Acti expire later than SI Y WAS FILED WIT	K MONTHS from t HIN TWO MONTH	he mailing date on HS OF THE FINA	f the final rejection. L REJECTION.	n. See MPEP
fee ha fee un (2) as	ive been file ider 37 CFR set forth in (	d is the date for 1.17(a) is cald (b) above, if ch	r purposes of de ulated from: (1) t ecked. Any repl	termining the pe he expiration da received by the	). The date on whi- eriod of extension a ate of the shortene ne Office later than e 37 CFR 1.704(b)	and the correspon d statutory period three months afte	ding amount of the	e fee. The approved the final of	opriate extension
1.					lant's Brief mus 7 CFR 1.191(d)				
2.🛛	The prop	oosed amer	idment(s) will	not be enter	ed because:				
. (	a) 🛛 they	y raise new	issues that wo	ould require t	further conside	ration and/or s	earch (see NO	TE below);	
(1	b) 🗌 they	y raise the is	sue of new m	atter (see N	lote below);				
(0		y are not de es for appe		the applicat	tion in better for	rm for appeal b	y materially re	educing or sin	plifying the
(0	d) 🗌 the	y present a	dditional claim	is without ca	nceling a corre	sponding num	ber of finally re	ejected claims	i.
	NO	TE: See Co	ntinuation She	<u>et</u> .					
3.	Applican	nt's reply ha	s overcome th	e following r	ejection(s):				
4.			amended clair Illowable clair		ould be allowat	ole if submitted	I in a separate	e, timely filed a	mendment
5.	The a) applicat	] affidavit, b ion in condi	)  ☐ exhibit, or tion for allowa	c) reques	st for reconside e:	ration has bee	n considered	but does NOT	place the
6.			oit will NOT be iner in the fina		because it is n	ot directed SC	LELY to issue	es which were	newly
7.🖂					ment(s) a)⊠ w ns would be rej				nd an
	The statu	us of the cla	im(s) is (or wi	ll be) as follo	ows:				
	Claim(s	) allowed: _							
	Claim(s)	) objected to	o:						
	Claim(s)	) rejected: 1	.2 and 4-37.						
	Claim(s)	) withdrawn	from consider	ation:					
8.	The drav	ving correct	on filed on	is a)□	approved or b	)  ☐ disapprov	ed by the Exa	miner.	
9.	Note the	attached In	formation Dis-	closure State	ement(s)( PTO-	-1449) Paper N	lo(s)		
10.	Other: _							-	ZZ.
								JUST	INE R. YU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has included amendments to the claims that change the scope of the independent claims and therefore requires further consideration. Applicant is reminded that amendments after final are not a matter of right. Applicant's arguments hinge on the entry of the after final amendments, therefore they are not considered persuasive as entry of the amendments has been denied...